BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD

| IN THE M ATTER OF |) | |
|------------------------------------|------|---------------------|
| |) | |
| PROPOSED AM EN DM ENTS TO: |) | |
| TIERED APPROACH TO CORRECTIVE |) | R11-9 |
| ACTION OBJECTIVES (TACO) (INDOOR |) | (Rulemaking – Land) |
| INHALATION): AM ENDM ENTS TO 35 II | LL.) | |
| ADM. CODE 742 | j | |

NOTICE OF FILING

TO: M r. John T. Therriault

Assistant Clerk of the Board

M r. Richard M cGill

Hearing Officer

Illinois Pollution Control Board Illinois Pollution Control Board

100 W. Randolph Street 100 W. Randolph Street

Suite 11-500 Suite 11-500

Chicago, Illinois 60601 Chicago, Illinois 60601 (VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL) (VIA U.S. MAIL)

(SEE PERSONS ON ATTACHED SERVICE LIST)

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that I have today filed with the Office of the Clerk of the Illinois Pollution Control Board the **PRE-FILED TESTIMONY OF BRIAN H. MARTIN**, a copy of which is herewith served upon you.

Respectfully submitted,

By: __sAkMDais

Alec M. Davis

Dated: May 3, 2011

Alec M. Davis General Counsel ILLINOIS ENVIRONMENTAL REGULATORY GROUP 215 East Adams St. Springfield, IL 62701 (217) 522-5512

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Alec M. Davis, the undersigned, hereby certify that I have served the attached **PRE**-

FILED TESTIMONY OF BRIAN H. MARTIN upon:

M r. John T. Therriault Assistant Clerk of the Board Illinois Pollution Control Board 100 West Randolph Street, Suite 11-500 Chicago, Illinois 60601

via electronic mail on M ay 3, 2011, and upon:

Richard McGill Hearing Officer Illinois Pollution Control Board 100 W. Randolph St., Suite 11-500 Chicago, IL 60601

Mark Robert Sargis Bellande & Sargis Law Group, LLP 19 South LaSalle Street, Suite 1203 Chicago, IL 60603

Lisa Frede Chemical Industry Council of Illinois 1400 East Touhy Avenue, Suite 110 DesPlaines, IL 60019-3338

William G. Dickett Sidley Austin LLP One South Dearborn Suite 900 Chicago, IL 60603

Douglas G. Soutter Conestoga-Rovers & Associates 8615 West Bryn Mawr Avenue Chicago, IL 60631

Bob Mankowski EPI 16650 South Canal South Holland, IL 60473

Katherine D. Hodge Monica T. Rios Hodge Dwyer & Driver 3150 Roland Avenue Post Office Box 5776 Springfield, IL 62705-5776 Kenneth W. Liss Andrews Environmental Engineering 3300 Ginger Creek Drive Springfield, IL 62711

Lawrence L. Fieber
Burns & McDonnell Engineering Company, Inc.
210 South Clark Street, Suite 2235
The Clark Adams Building
Chicago, IL 60603

Charles A. King Chicago Department of Law 30 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 900 Chicago, IL 60602

Diane H. Richardson Commonwealth Edison 10 South Dearborn Street, 35FNW Chicago, IL 60603

Craig Gocker Environmental Management & Technologies, Inc. 3010 Gill Street Bloomington, IL 61704

Tracy Lundein Hanson Engineers, Inc. 1525 South Sixth Street Springfield, IL 62703-2886

Kimberly A. Geving I EPA 1021 North Grand Avenue East P.O. Box 19276 Springfield, IL 62794-9276

Mitchell Cohen Illinois Department of Natural Resources One Natural Resources Way Springfield, IL 62702-1271

Steven Gobelman
Illinois Department of Transportation
2300 S. Dirksen Parkway
Room 302
Springfield, IL 62764

David Rieser McGuire Woods LLP 77 W. Wacker, Suite 4100 Chicago, IL 60601

John W. Hochwarter Missman Stanley & Associates 333 East State Street Rockford, IL 61110-0827

Matthew J. Dunn
Office of the Attorney General
Environmental Bureau
69 W. Washington, 18th Floor
Chicago, IL 60602

Craig B. Simonsen Phil Comella Seyfarth Shaw 131 South Dearborn Street, Suite 2400 Chicago, IL 60603-5803

Jarrett Thomas Suburban Laboratories, Inc. 4140 Litt Drive Hillside, IL 60162

Elizabeth Steinhour Weaver Boos & Gordon 2021 Timberbrook Lane Springfield, IL 62702 Stan Yonkauski Illinois Department of Natural Resources One Natural Resources Way Springfield, IL 62702-1271

Kevin G. Desharnais Mayer, Brown LLP 71 South Wacker Drive Chicago, IL 60606-4637

Jeffrey Larson Missman Stanley & Associates 333 East State Street Rockford, IL 61110-0827

Mark Schultz Navy Facilities and Engineering Command 201 Decatur Avenue, Building 1A Great Lakes, IL 60088-2801

Jorge T. Mihalopoulos Raymond T. Reott Reott Law Offices, LLC 35 East Wacker Drive, Suite 650 Chicago, IL 60601

Harry Walton SRAC 2510 Brooks Drive Decatur, IL 62521

Chetan Trivedi Trivedi Associates, Inc. 2055 Steeplebrook Court Naperville, IL 60565

by depositing said documents in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, in Springfield, Illinois on May 3, 2011.

/s/ Alec M . Davis

BEFORE THE ILLINOIS POLLUTION CONTROL BOARD IN THE

| MATTER OF |) | |
|----------------------------------|---|---------------------|
| |) | |
| PROPOSED AMENDMENTS TO: |) | |
| TIERED APPROACH TO CORRECTIVE |) | R11-9 |
| ACTION OBJECTIVES (TACO) (INDOOR |) | (Rulemaking - Land) |
| INHALATION AMENDMENTS TO |) | |
| 35 ILL ADM. CODE 742 |) | |

PRE-FILED TESTIMONY OF BRIAN H. MARTIN

NOW COMES the Site Remediation Advisory Committee ("SRAC"), and submits the following PRE-FILED TESTIMONY OF BRIAN H. MARTIN for presentation at the May 24, 2011, hearing scheduled in the above-referenced matter.

Testimony of Brian H. Martin

I. INTRODUCTION

Good Morning. My name is Brian Howard Martin, and I am a Consulting Environmental Scientist at Ameren Services in St. Louis, Missouri_ I have over 25 years of experience working in the environmental field. In addition, I represent the Illinois Chamber of Commerce as current Chairman of the S C . ¹On behalf of SRAC, I want to thank the Illinois Pollution Control Board ("Board") for the opportunity to present this testimony today.

Pursuant to its statutory charge, SRAC has been active in working with the Agency in developing this proposal since 2007. My testimony today is intended to speak, on behalf of SRAC, in support of the Agency's proposal generally, but also to provide additional information

SRAC is authorized by Section 58.11 of the Illinois Environmental Protection Act, 415 ILCS 5!58.11, and consists of members from the Illinois State Chamber of Commerce, Illinois Manufacturer's Association, Chemical Industry Council of Illinois, Consulting Engineers Council of Illinois, Illinois Bankers Association, the Community Bankers Association of Illinois, Illinois Realtor Association, and the National Solid Waste Management Association. Additional groups, such as the Illinois Environmental Regulatory Group, the Illinois Petroleum Council, the Illinois Petroleum Marketers Association, and City of Chicago, participate on an ad-hoc basis.

for the Board's record regarding the multiple lines of evidence inherent in any remediation pursuant to TACO, as well as details on implementing a vapor intrusion site assessment. **II.**MULTIPLE LINES OF EVIDENCE

At the hearing held March 29, 2011, representatives of the Board asked a number of questions of the Agency witnesses regarding the U.S. EPA's concept of requiring multiple lines of evidence in performing a vapor intrusion site assessment. I concur with the answers provided by the Agency witnesses, however I would like to offer some supplemental detail in support of the position that TACO, as proposed to be amended in this rulemaking, in conjunction with the underlying programs that implement TACO (SRP, LUST, RCRA) already require a level of information related to a corrective action site as envisioned by U.S. EPA's multiple lines of evidence.

Based on my understanding of the U.S. EPA's concept of preferring multiple lines of evidence, the underlying rationale is ensuring that a sufficient amount of information has been collected to accurately determine whether the indoor inhalation pathway is complete. The questions asked by the Board at the March 29, 2011 hearing seemed to focus on the fact that the proposal, in some instances, allows a remedial applicant to exclude the indoor inhalation pathway based on a single type of data (i.e., groundwater) conforming with the remediation objectives ("RO"). The conclusion that such a requirement only takes into account a single line of evidence is not correct. Taken in context with the entire TACO process, the Indoor Inhalation proposal does apply multiple lines of evidence as envisioned by USEPA - even in instances where Table H is applied and only groundwater data are used to determine compliance with ROs. In this case, the preference for multiple lines of evidence is met because other relevant portions

of the TACO requirements require the collection and evaluation of data that also serve to evaluate the Indoor Inhalation pathway. Such data include:

- Past and current land use,
- Historic and current releases,
- Contaminant sources and areas containing free product,
- Extent of groundwater and soil impacts and modeled future groundwater impacts,
- Rate and direction of groundwater flow,
- Locations of any nearby public or private groundwater wells,
- Local geologic conditions, and
- Locations of potential on- and off-site receptors.

The above data, combined with groundwater data for comparison with the Table H ROs does provide multiple lines of evidence to be used for evaluation of potential risks. Only after consideration of all of these factors will the Illinois EPA issue a No Further Remediation Letter.

III. IMPLEMENTATION ISSUES

SRAC agrees with the Illinois EPA's position that indoor air sampling should not be required under Tiers 1 or 2. It is my understanding that there are numerous complicating factors inherent in conducting indoor air sample collection and results analysis. If indoor air sampling is necessary at a specific site, a remedial applicant may do so under Tier 3.

Based on my experience, the difficulties and uncertainty inherent in indoor air sampling include indoor chemical use; i.e., paint, pesticides (with volatile organic carriers), cleaning products, personal hygiene products, tobacco use and others. Even with an appropriate survey of conditions within the premises prior to sampling, it may be impossible to distinguish compounds that are generated indoors from those that originate from the subsurface. Because of these

complicating factors, groundwater, soil and/or soil gas sampling can provide data that are more reliable for the assessment of potential environmental exposure. Indoor air sampling should not be required unless there is an established exposure pathway from the source to the Indoor Inhalation exposure route. Under the proposed rules, as modified by the Illinois EPA Errata #2, the soil to groundwater to vapor phase is thoroughly investigated by multiple lines of evidence as described above.

Mandatory indoor air sampling without establishing a completed pathway would be a technical leap that assumes a complete pathway in the absence of data. The record for this proceeding describes the variables that are important to consider when investigating the separation and movement of a vapor phase from the source. The proposed rule also allows the Illinois EPA the latitude to expand the investigation in order to determine whether or not a completed pathway may exist. Arbitrarily adding an investigation of indoor quality in the absence of hard data that the pathway may be complete only increases the likelihood of a false positive result.

As with the investigation of other potential exposure pathways, the cost of a soil gas investigation will vary with the size and complexity of the project. The collection of soil gas samples requires specialized equipment. Currently, soil gas samples are not routinely collected as part of a remedial investigation. These samples cannot be collected with the equipment that is typically used to install groundwater monitoring wells or to collect soil samples. Soil gas samples are often collected with a Geoprobe or similar direct-push sampling equipment. The cost of a soil gas investigation with labor, equipment and report preparation can be \$5,000 per day with an additional \$200 analytical cost per soil gas sample. Although the TACO Indoor Inhalation regulations are not yet in effect, I have been involved with a limited number of soil

gas investigations. As an example, the cost at one complete soil gas investigation, including

analysis of 10 soil gas samples, data interpretation and report preparation was approximately

\$22,000. This was in addition to the cost for the routine site investigation pursuant to existing

TACO requirements.

IV. CONCLUSION

I would like to thank the Agency for its willingness to work with SRAC, and consider its

input in the development of this proposal before the Board. SRAC supports the proposal being

adopted by the Board.

On behalf of SRAC, I would like to thank the Board for providing the opportunity to

present testimony in this rulemaking. I would be happy to respond to any questions regarding

my testimony.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: May 3, 2011

By: _____ Brian

H. Martin

Brian H. Martin, CHMM
Consulting Environmental Scientist
Ameren Services
Environmental Services
1901 Chouteau Avenue
Post Office Box 66149, MC 602
St. Louis, Missouri 63166-6149
(314) 554-2233